

Toeplitz and Hankel operators on weighted Fock spaces

MIROSLAV ENGLIŠ (Prague)

ABSTRACT. We give criteria for the membership of Toeplitz operators and of products of Hankel operators, with symbols of a certain type, in Schatten ideals and in the Dixmier class, and formulas for their Dixmier trace, on a variety of weighted Segal-Bargmann-Fock spaces on the complex plane.

joint with: H. Bommier, E.H. Youssfi (Marseille)

HARDY SPACE OPERATORS

$$\mathbf{T} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| = 1\}$$

Hardy space: $L^2(\mathbf{T}) \supset H^2 = \{f : \widehat{f}(n) = 0 \ \forall n < 0\} = L^2_{\text{hol}}(\mathbf{T}),$

$$\widehat{f}(n) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 f(e^{i\theta}) e^{-ni\theta} d\theta.$$

Szegő projection: $S : L^2(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow H^2.$

Toeplitz operator with symbol $f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{T})$:

$$T_f^{\text{Hardy}} : H^2 \rightarrow H^2, \quad g \mapsto S(fg)$$

Hankel operator:

$$H_f^{\text{Hardy}} : H^2 \rightarrow L^2 \ominus H^2 = \overline{H_0^2}, \quad g \mapsto (I - S)(fg).$$

BERGMAN SPACE OPERATORS

$$\mathbf{D} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < 1\}$$

Bergman space: $L^2(\mathbf{D}) \supset A^2 = \{f : f \text{ holomorphic on } \mathbf{D}\}$

Bergman projection: $P : L^2(\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow A^2$

Toeplitz operator with symbol $f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{D})$:

$$T_f : A^2 \rightarrow A^2, \quad g \mapsto P(fg);$$

Hankel operator:

$$H_f : A^2 \rightarrow L^2(\mathbf{D}) \ominus A^2, \quad g \mapsto (I - P)(fg).$$

Similarly — on the ball $\mathbf{B}^n \subset \mathbf{C}^n$, or any $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C}^n$:

Bergman space (wrt the Lebesgue measure)

Hardy space (Poisson extension holomorphic; wrt surface measure)
[bigger orthocomplement]

Szegö/Bergman projection, Toeplitz ops., Hankel ops.

H_f IN SCHATTEN CLASSES

Hankel operators on $H^2(\mathbf{T})$ [Peller 1982] [Semmes 1984]:

for f holomorphic, $H_{\bar{f}} \in \mathcal{S}^p \iff f \in B^p$, the p -th Besov space:

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} |f^{(k)}(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{kp-2} dm(z) < \infty,$$

for some (\iff any) $k > 1/p$. ($0 < p < \infty$) (Trivial for $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{T})$.)

Hankel operators on $A^2(\mathbf{D})$ [Arazy-Fisher-Janson-Peetre 1988]:

for f holomorphic, $H_{\bar{f}} \in \mathcal{S}^p$, $1 < p < \infty$, $\iff f \in B^p$;

$$H_{\bar{f}} \in \mathcal{S}^p, p \leq 1, \iff H_{\bar{f}} = 0.$$

... **Cut-off** at $p = 1$. (Never \mathcal{S}^1 even for $f \in C^\infty(\bar{\mathbf{D}})$.)

Hankel operators on $A^2(\mathbf{B}^n)$, $n > 1$ [Arazy-Fisher-Peetre 1988]:

for f holomorphic, $H_{\bar{f}} \in \mathcal{S}^p$, $2n < p < \infty$, $\iff f \in B^p$;

$H_{\bar{f}} \in \mathcal{S}^p$, $p \leq 2n$, $\iff H_{\bar{f}} = 0$.

(cut-off at $p = 2n$)

Same result — for any Ω smoothly bounded strictly pseudoconvex in \mathbf{C}^n , $n \geq 2$. [Li-Luecking 1995]

At the cutoff: Dixmier ideal and Dixmier trace.

THE DIXMIER IDEAL

Recall: a compact operator T on a Hilbert space belongs to \mathcal{S}^p iff

$$\sum_j s_j(T)^p < \infty,$$

where $s_0(T) \geq s_1(T) \geq s_2(T) \geq \dots$ are the eigenvalues of $(T^*T)^{1/2}$ (counting multiplicities).

Schatten ideals: $T \in \mathcal{S}^p \iff \{s_j(T)\} \in \ell^p \quad (0 < p < \infty);$

$$T \in \mathcal{S}^{p,\infty} \iff s_j(T) = O(j^{-1/p}) \quad (0 < p < \infty).$$

(\mathcal{S}^1 — trace class).

Dixmier ideal $\mathcal{S}^{\text{Dixm}}$: consists of all T such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^N s_j(T) = O(\log N).$$

Norm:

$$\|T\|_{\text{Dixm}} := \sup_{N \geq 2} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{j=0}^N s_j(T).$$

Inclusions: $\mathcal{S}^1 \subset \mathcal{S}^{1,\infty} \subset \mathcal{S}^{\text{Dixm}} \subset \mathcal{S}^p, \quad \forall p > 1.$

DIXMIER TRACE

$\omega : l^\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ a Banach limit

i.e. $\omega \in (l^\infty)^*$ of norm 1 extending $\lim \in c^*$ on $c \subset l^\infty$

ω is scaling invariant if $\omega(x_1, x_1, x_2, x_2, \dots) = \omega(x_1, x_2, \dots)$

Dixmier trace of $A \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{Dixm}}$, $A \geq 0$:

$$\text{Tr}_\omega(A) := \omega\left(\frac{1}{1 + \log n} \sum_{j=1}^n s_j(A)\right).$$

One has $\text{Tr}_\omega(A + B) = \text{Tr}_\omega(A) + \text{Tr}_\omega(B)$ if ω scaling invariant; hence, makes sense to set

$$\text{Tr}_\omega(A) = \text{Tr}_\omega(A_+) - \text{Tr}_\omega(A_-) \quad \text{for } A = A_+ - A_- = A^* \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{Dixm}},$$

$$\text{Tr}_\omega(A) = \text{Tr}_\omega\left(\frac{A + A^*}{2}\right) + i \text{Tr}_\omega\left(\frac{A - A^*}{2i}\right) \quad \text{for arbitrary } A \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{Dixm}}.$$

A is measurable if $\text{Tr}_\omega(A)$ is the same for all ω .

Properties of Tr_ω :

- Cyclicity: $\text{Tr}_\omega(AB) = \text{Tr}_\omega(BA)$ $A \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{Dixm}}$, B bounded.
- Nontrivial: $\text{Tr}_\omega(A) = 0$ if A trace-class.
- for $T \geq 0$

$$\text{Tr}_\omega(T) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{j=0}^N s_j(T)$$

if the limit exists.

[Connes – NG book 1994]

We had the cut-off phenomena: for f holomorphic on the disc,

$$H_{\bar{f}} \in \mathcal{S}^p \iff \begin{cases} f \in B^p, & 1 < p < \infty, \\ f = \text{const.}, & 0 < p \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and f holomorphic on the ball or on s-pscvx $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ s-pscvx, $n \geq 2$,

$$H_{\bar{f}} \in \mathcal{S}^p \iff \begin{cases} f \in B^p, & 2n < p < \infty, \\ f = \text{const.}, & 0 < p \leq 2n. \end{cases}$$

Question: When is

$$\begin{aligned} H_f &\in \mathcal{S}^{\text{Dixm}} && \text{on } \mathbf{D}, \\ H_{f_1}^* H_{f_2} \cdots H_{f_{2n-1}}^* H_{f_{2n}} &\in \mathcal{S}^{\text{Dixm}} && \text{on } \mathbf{B}^n, \quad n \geq 2, \end{aligned}$$

or on $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C}^n$, $n \geq 2$, nice?

What are the corresponding Dixmier traces

$$\text{Tr}_\omega(|H_f|), \quad \text{Tr}_\omega(H_{f_1}^* H_{f_2} \cdots H_{f_{2n-1}}^* H_{f_{2n}}) ?$$

KNOWN previously:

- for \mathbf{D} [Arazy-Fisher-Peetre 1988]

$$f \in B^1 \implies H_{\bar{f}} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{Dixm}},$$

but not conversely.

- $0 \neq H_f \in \mathcal{I}$, a unitary ideal $\implies \mathcal{S}^{\text{Dixm}} \subset \mathcal{I}$.
- Nothing known about $\text{Tr}_\omega(|H_f|)$.
- Nothing known for \mathbf{B}^n , $n \geq 2$, or strictly-pseudoconvex.

RESULTS — DISC

Theorem. [R. Rochberg, ME] *If $f \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbf{D}})$, then*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(|H_f|) = \int_{\mathbf{T}} |\bar{\partial}f| \, d\sigma,$$

where $d\sigma =$ normalized arc-length.

In particular: H_f measurable. (Nontrivial even for smooth f .)

Theorem. [RR, ME] *For f holomorphic on \mathbf{D} , TFAE:*

- (1) $f' \in H^1$;
- (2) $H_{\bar{f}} \in \mathcal{S}^{1,\infty}$;
- (3) $H_{\bar{f}} \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{Dixm}}$.

In that case $|H_{\bar{f}}|$ is measurable and

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(|H_{\bar{f}}|) = \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f'| \, d\sigma = \|f'\|_{H^1}.$$

RESULTS — SEVERAL COMPLEX VARIABLES

Theorem. $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ smoothly bounded strictly pseudoconvex. Then for any $2n$ functions $f_1, g_1, \dots, f_n, g_n \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$,

$$H_{f_1}^* H_{g_1} \dots H_{f_n}^* H_{g_n} =: H \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{Dixm}}$$

and

$$\text{Tr}_\omega(H) = \frac{1}{n!(2\pi)^n} \int_{\partial\Omega} \prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{L}(\bar{\partial}_b g_j, \bar{\partial}_b f_j) d\mu,$$

where

$$d\mu := \frac{1}{2i^n} (\partial r - \bar{\partial} r) \wedge (\bar{\partial} \partial r)^{n-1}.$$

Here \mathcal{L} is the dual Levi form on \mathcal{T}''^* (the dual of the anti-holomorphic complex tangent space on $\partial\Omega$), and r is a defining function for Ω .

In particular, H is measurable.

RESULTS — THE FOCK SPACE

Fock (Segal-Bargmann) space:

$$\mathcal{F}_\gamma := \{f \in L^2(\mathbf{C}^n, e^{-\gamma|z|^2} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\pi}\right)^n dz) : f \text{ entire}\}, \quad \gamma > 0. \quad (\gamma = \frac{1}{2})$$

Toeplitz and Hankel operators:

$$T_f : u \mapsto P(fu), \quad H_f : u \mapsto (I - P)(fu),$$

where $f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{C}^n)$ and $P : L^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\gamma$ is the OG projection.

Analogue of C^∞ on the closure: $f \in \mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$

$$f(z) \approx \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_j(z) \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where $f_j(z)$ is homogeneous of degree $-j$, i.e. $f_j(tz) = t^{-j} f_j(z) \forall t > 0$.
(Symbol classes for psdo's.)

Theorem. For $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}^{2n-1}$, denote

$$Q(f, g) := \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} r^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j \bar{f}(r\zeta) \cdot \bar{\partial}_j g(r\zeta)$$

(the limits exists thanks to the definition of \mathcal{A} , and in fact we may replace f, g by their top-degree components f_0, g_0).

Then for any $f_1, g_1, \dots, f_n, g_n \in \mathcal{A}$, the product

$$H_{f_1}^* H_{g_1} \dots H_{f_n}^* H_{g_n} =: H$$

belongs to $\mathcal{S}^{\text{Dixm}}$, is measurable, and

$$\text{Tr}_\omega(H) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{2n-1}} Q(f_1, g_1) \dots Q(f_n, g_n) d\sigma$$

where $d\sigma$ is the normalized surface measure on \mathbf{S}^{2n-1} .

Proof. Weyl operator with symbol $a = a(x, \xi)$ on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$:

$$W_a f(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} a\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) e^{-i\langle x-y, \xi \rangle} f(y) dy d\xi.$$

Converges if $a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$; extends in standard way to $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$.

Special cases: $W_{a(x)} = M_a$; $W_{\xi^\alpha} = i^\alpha \partial^\alpha$.

Bargmann transform: isometry $\beta : L^2(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{-1/2}$,

$$\beta f(z) := \frac{1}{(4\pi^3)^{n/4}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(x) e^{x \cdot z - x \cdot x/2 - z \cdot z/4} dx.$$

(Extends also to general F_γ via dilations $z \mapsto \sqrt{\gamma}z$.)

Fact: Relationship to Toeplitz operators:

$$\beta^* T_a \beta = W_{\mathcal{E}a}$$

where $a(x, \xi)$ is also viewed as the function $a(z)$ of $z = x + i\xi \in \mathbf{C}^n$, and

$$\mathcal{E}a(z) = \left(\frac{2\gamma}{\pi}\right)^n \int_{\mathbf{C}^n} a(w) e^{-2\gamma|z-w|^2} dw = e^{\Delta/8\gamma} a(z)$$

is the heat solution at time $t = \frac{1}{8\gamma}$.

Reduces the problem to deciding when $W_{\mathcal{E}(fg)} - W_{\mathcal{E}f}W_{\mathcal{E}g} \in \mathcal{S}^{2n, \infty}$.

Using the interplay between W_a and $T_a = W_{\mathcal{E}a} = W_{a+\text{LOT}}$:

Theorem.

- (a) *Let $p > 1$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}^m$, $m < -2n/p$. Then $W_a, T_a \in \mathcal{S}^p$.*
- (b) *Let $p > 1$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}^m$, $m \leq -2n/p$. Then $W_a, T_a \in \mathcal{S}^{p, \infty}$.*
- (c) *If $a \in \mathcal{A}^0$, then W_a is bounded.*

(The last usually proved using Calderon-Vaillancourt — we are able to prove it using only that T_f is bounded for f bounded.)

Known for W_a ; folk lore for Toeplitz.

Here \mathcal{A}^m denotes the class of f with homogeneous expansion

$$f(z) \approx |z|^m \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_j(z) \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Rest of this talk: Generalizations to weighted Fock spaces.

Notation:

$$\mathcal{F}_w := \{f \in L^2(\mathbf{C}^n, w) : f \text{ is holomorphic}\}.$$

Here w is (positive continuous) assumed to be such that

$$|z|^k w(z) \text{ is integrable for all } k \geq 0,$$

so that polynomials belong to \mathcal{F}_w . In all cases we will consider here, they will also be dense in \mathcal{F}_w .

Example:

$$\mathcal{F}_m := \mathcal{F}_w \quad \text{for} \quad w(z) = e^{-|z|^{2m}},$$

“higher-order Fock” spaces. \square

Toeplitz and Hankel operators on \mathcal{F}_w :

$$T_f = P_+ M_f, \quad H_f = P_- M_f,$$

where $P_+ : L^2(\mathbf{C}^n, w) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_w$ is the orthogonal projection, $P_- = I - P_+$, and $M_f : u \mapsto fu$ is the operator of “multiplication by f ”.

Question: Membership in \mathcal{S}^p , $\mathcal{S}^{\text{Dixm}}$, Tr_ω .

Will work on \mathbf{C} ; the case of \mathbf{C}^n involves just more technicalities.

RELATED WORK:

- [Holland, Rochberg 2001] — radial weights, estimates for Bergman kernel, Hankel forms
- [Bommier-Hato, Youssfi 2007] — $H_{\bar{f}}$, f holomorphic, on \mathcal{F}_m
- [Seip, Youssfi 2012] — \mathcal{S}^p criteria for $H_{\bar{f}}$, f holomorphic, similar (finer) estimates for Bergman kernel
- [Lin, Rochberg 1995], [Constantin, Ortega-Cerda 2011] (for $\bar{\partial}$), ...

Nothing known for $\mathcal{S}^{\text{Dixm}}$, Tr_ω .

RESULTS

Theorem A. *Let*

$$w(z) = e^{-|z|^{2m}}, \quad m > 0.$$

Assume that $f, g \in L^\infty(\mathbf{C})$ have the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^q |z|^{-j} f_j\left(\frac{z}{|z|}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{|z|^{q+1}}\right) \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow +\infty$$

and similarly for g , where $q+1 > m$ and $f_j, g_j, j = 0, 1, \dots, q$, are some functions in $C^\infty(\mathbf{T})$.

Extend f_0 to $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$ by $f_0(z) := f_0\left(\frac{z}{|z|}\right)$ and similarly for g_0 .

Then $H_{\bar{f}}, H_g$ belong to $\mathcal{S}^{2,\infty}$, the operator $H_{\bar{f}}^*H_g$ belongs to the Dixmier class, is measurable, the limit

$$Q(f, g)(e^{i\theta}) := \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} r^2 \partial f_0(re^{i\theta}) \bar{\partial} g_0(re^{i\theta})$$

exists for any $e^{i\theta} \in \mathbf{T}$, and

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(H_{\bar{f}}^*H_g) = \frac{1}{2\pi m} \int_0^{2\pi} Q(f, g)(e^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

Also an analogous result for T_f .

The hypothesis $q + 1 > m$ is essential: for $f(z) = e^{i|z|^2}$, H_f is not even compact.

Theorem B. *Let*

$$w(z) = \rho(z)|z|^q e^{-|z|^2}$$

where $q \in \mathbf{R}$ *and*

$\rho(z)$ *is bounded and bounded away from 0 as* $z \rightarrow \infty$.

Then

- (i) $f \in \mathcal{A}^s, s < 0 \implies T_f \in \mathcal{S}^{-2/s, \infty}$.
- (ii) $f \in \mathcal{A}^s, s < -2/p \implies T_f \in \mathcal{S}^p$ ($p \geq 1$).
- (iii) $f, g \in \mathcal{A}^0 \implies H_f^* H_g \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{Dixm}}$ and Tr_ω is given by the same formula as for $w(z) = e^{-|z|^2}$.

Proof of Theorem A — elementary methods.

Proof of Theorem B — reduction to Weyl calculus.

Fact C. *Let*

$$w(z) = e^{-|z|^{2m}}, \quad m > 0.$$

Then there exists a natural “Bargman transform”

$$\beta_m : L^2(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_m$$

such that

$$\beta_m^* T_f \beta_m = W_{\mathcal{E}_m} f$$

where \mathcal{E}_m is a certain ΨDO on \mathbf{C} .

We originally hoped to use this for giving a proof of Theorem A also by reduction to the Weyl calculus.

However, \mathcal{E}_m is too complicated.

PROOF OF THEOREM A

For convenience, introduce the notation ($s > 0$)

$$\mathcal{I}^{-s} = \mathcal{S}^{1/s, \infty} = \{T : s_j(T) = O(j^{-s}) \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

Then \mathcal{I}^{-s} is a vector space, with quasi-norm

$$\|T\|_{-s} := \sup_j (j+1)^s s_j(T)$$

satisfying

$$\|A + B\|_{-s} \leq 2^s (\|A\|_{-s} + \|B\|_{-s}),$$

$$\|AB\|_{-s-t} \leq 2^{s+t} \|A\|_{-s} \|B\|_{-t}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{I}^{-s} \cdot \mathcal{I}^{-t} \subset \mathcal{I}^{-s-t}$.

Let also χ denote the characteristic function of the exterior disc $|z| \geq 1$.

Then the functions

$$|z|^s \chi(z)$$

are defined for any $s \in \mathbf{R}$ (we avoid the singularity $z = 0$ for negative s).

Observation 1: $T_{|z|^s \chi} \in \mathcal{I}^{s/2m}$.

Proof. For any radial function w on \mathbf{C} , denote by

$$c_k(w) := \int_{\mathbf{C}} |z|^{2k} w(z) dz$$

its moments. Then if ϕ is another radial function, the Toeplitz operator T_ϕ on \mathcal{F}_w is diagonalized by the monomial basis $\{z^k\}$:

$$T_\phi : z^k \longmapsto \frac{c_k(\phi w)}{c_k(w)} z^k.$$

Applying this to $w(z) = e^{-|z|^{2m}}$, $\phi(z) = |z|^s \chi(z)$ gives the result, since

$$c_k(w) = \frac{\pi}{m} \Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{\pi}\right), \quad c_k(\phi w) \sim c_{k+s/2}(w),$$

and

$$\frac{c_{k+s/2}(w)}{c_k(w)} \sim \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+\frac{s}{2}+1}{m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{m}\right)} \sim k^{s/2m}$$

by Stirling's formula. \square

Observation 2: $T_f \in \mathcal{I}^{s/2m}$ if $f(z) = O(|z|^s)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Write

$$T_f = T_{(1-\chi)f} + T_{|z|^s \chi g}$$

with g bounded. Then

$$T_{|z|^s \chi g} = P_+ M_{|z|^s \chi g} P_+ = (M_{|z|^{s/2} \chi} P_+)^* M_g (M_{|z|^{s/2} \chi} P_+)$$

while

$$(M_{|z|^{s/2} \chi} P_+)^* (M_{|z|^{s/2} \chi} P_+) = T_{|z|^s \chi}.$$

By previous observation, the last product belongs to $\mathcal{I}^{s/2m}$, hence $(M_{|z|^{s/2} \chi} P_+) \in \mathcal{I}^{s/4m}$ and $T_{|z|^s \chi g} \in \mathcal{I}^{s/2m}$.

A similar argument shows that $T_{(1-\chi)f}$ in fact belongs to all \mathcal{I}^s , $s < 0$. So $T_f \in \mathcal{I}^{s/2m}$. \square

Using the formula

$$T_{fg} - T_f T_g = H_{\bar{f}}^* H_g,$$

similar argument yields also the following two observations.

Observation 3: $H_f \in \mathcal{I}^{s/2m}$ if $f(z) = O(|z|^s)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

Observation 4: For $f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{C})$ and $s \leq 0$,

$$H_{|z|^s \chi}, \quad T_{f|z|^s \chi} - T_f T_{|z|^s \chi}, \quad T_{f|z|^s \chi} - T_{|z|^s \chi} T_f \in \mathcal{I}^{s/2m-1/2}.$$

Remark. No longer true for $H_{|z|^s \chi}$ replaced by H_f , $f = O(|z|^s)$.

PROOF OF THEOREM A

Theorem A. *Let*

$$w(z) = e^{-|z|^{2m}}, \quad m > 0.$$

Assume that $f, g \in L^\infty(\mathbf{C})$ have the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^q |z|^{-j} f_j\left(\frac{z}{|z|}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{|z|^{q+1}}\right) \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow +\infty$$

and similarly for g , where $q + 1 > m$ and $f_j, g_j \in C^\infty(\mathbf{T})$.

Extend f_0 to $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$ by $f_0(z) := f_0\left(\frac{z}{|z|}\right)$ and similarly for g_0 .

Then $H_{\bar{f}}, H_g \in \mathcal{I}^{-1/2}$, $H_{\bar{f}}^ H_g \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{Dixm}}$ belongs to the Dixmier class, is measurable, the limit*

$$Q(f, g)(e^{i\theta}) := \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} r^2 \partial f_0(re^{i\theta}) \bar{\partial} g_0(re^{i\theta})$$

exists for any $e^{i\theta} \in \mathbf{T}$, and

$$\text{Tr}_\omega(H_{\bar{f}}^* H_g) = \frac{1}{2\pi m} \int_0^{2\pi} Q(f, g)(e^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

Step 1:

Extend f_j, g_j also by 0-homogeneity, and denote by f_{q+1}, g_{q+1} the remainder terms.

First of all, it is enough to prove the theorem for $f = f_0, g = g_0$. Indeed, if we know that

$$H_{\bar{f}_j}, H_{g_j} \in \mathcal{I}^{-1/2},$$

then from the observations above we easily get that

$$H_{\bar{f}}^* H_g - H_{\bar{f}_0}^* H_{g_0} \in \mathcal{S}^1.$$

Thus $H_{\bar{f}}^* H_g$ also belongs to $\mathcal{S}^{\text{Dixm}}$ and has the same Tr_ω as $H_{\bar{f}_0}^* H_{g_0}$.

From now on, we thus assume that f, g are homogeneous of degree 0 on \mathbf{C} .

Step 2: Let

$$f(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \hat{f}_j e_j, \quad e_j(e^{i\theta}) := e^{ji\theta},$$

be the Fourier expansion of f . Since $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{T})$ by hypothesis, we have

$$|\hat{f}_j| \leq \frac{C_f}{(j^2 + 1)^2}$$

by Cauchy estimates, so the series converges uniformly. Similarly for g .
Hence

$$Q(f, g) = \sum_{j, l} \hat{f}_j \hat{g}_l Q(e_j, e_l).$$

If we show that

$$(*) \quad \|H_{e_j}^* H_{e_l}\|_{\text{Dixm}} \leq C_m |jl|$$

for some constant C_m depending only on m , then also

$$\sum_{j,l} \|\hat{f}_j \hat{g}_l H_{e_j}^* H_{e_l}\|_{\text{Dixm}} \leq C_f C_g C_m \sum_{j,l} \frac{|jl|}{(j^2 + 1)^2 (l^2 + 1)^2} < \infty,$$

implying that $H_{\hat{f}}^* H_{\hat{g}} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{Dixm}}$ and

$$\text{Tr}_\omega(H_{\hat{f}}^* H_{\hat{g}}) = \sum_{j,l} \hat{f}_j \hat{g}_l \text{Tr}_\omega(H_{e_j}^* H_{e_l}).$$

It is thus enough to prove Theorem A for $f = e_j$, $g = e_l$, $j, l \in \mathbf{Z}$, together with the norm estimate (*).

We start with the latter.

Step 3: From the general inequality

$$\|AB\|_{\text{Dixm}} \leq \|AB\|_{-1} \leq 2\|A\|_{-1/2}\|B\|_{-1/2} = 2\sqrt{\|A^*A\|_{-1}\|B^*B\|_{-1}}$$

we see that it is enough to prove (*) for $j + l = 0$, i.e.

$$\|H_{e_l}^*H_{e_l}\|_{-1} \leq C_m l^2.$$

Now the last operator is diagonalized by the standard monomial basis:

$H_{e_l}^*H_{e_l}z^k = d_k z^k$, where

$$d_k = \begin{cases} 1 & k + l < 0, \\ 1 - \frac{c_{k+l/2}^2}{c_k c_{k+l}} & k + l \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

This reduces (*) to showing that

$$x \left(1 - \frac{\Gamma(x + \frac{a}{2})^2}{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(x + a)} \right) \leq C_m a^2 \quad \forall a \geq 0, \forall z \geq \frac{1}{m}.$$

Verified using properties of the Gamma function.

It remains to compute the Dixmier trace of $H_f^*H_g$ for $f = e_j, g = e_l$.

Step 4: Consider the unitary operator

$$Uf(z) := f(\epsilon z).$$

where $|\epsilon| = 1$. Then

$$U^* H_f^* H_g U = H_{Uf}^* H_{Ug}.$$

In particular,

$$U^* H_{e_j}^* H_{e_l} U = \epsilon^{-j-l} H_{e_j}^* H_{e_l}.$$

Since Dixmier trace is invariant under unitary maps and $\epsilon \in \mathbf{T}$ can be taken arbitrary, it follows that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(H_{e_j}^* H_{e_l}) = 0 \quad \text{if } j + l \neq 0.$$

When $j + l = 0$, we saw that $H_{e_j}^* H_{e_l}$ is diagonal with explicitly given eigenvalues d_k , giving

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(H_{e_l}^* H_{e_l}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k d_k = \cdots = \frac{l^2}{4m}.$$

On the other hand, direct computation gives

$$\frac{1}{2\pi m} \int_0^{2\pi} Q(e_j, e_l)(e^{i\theta}) d\theta = -\delta_{j+l,0} \frac{jl}{4m}.$$

Thus the left-hand side is equal to $\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(H_{e_l}^* H_{e_l})$ for any $j, l \in \mathbf{Z}$, proving the last claim and hence Theorem A. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM B

Theorem B. *Let*

$$w(z) = \rho(z)|z|^q e^{-|z|^2}$$

where $q \in \mathbf{R}$ and

$\rho(z)$ *is bounded and bounded away from 0 as $z \rightarrow \infty$.*

Then

- (i) $f \in \mathcal{A}^s, s < 0 \implies T_f \in \mathcal{S}^{-2/s, \infty}$.
- (ii) $f \in \mathcal{A}^s, s < -2/p \implies T_f \in \mathcal{S}^p$ ($p \geq 1$).
- (iii) $f, g \in \mathcal{A}^0 \implies H_f^* H_g \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{Dixm}}$ and Tr_ω is given by the same formula as for $w(z) = e^{-|z|^2}$.

Quite generally, consider Toeplitz operators T_f on some weighted Fock space \mathcal{F}_w , and the Toeplitz operators $T_f^{(\rho)}$ on the weighted Fock space $\mathcal{F}_{\rho w}$, where ρ is a positive function.

We assume that both spaces contain a common dense subset (e.g. polynomials).

Proposition. (i) *The operator T_ρ is (possibly unbounded) densely defined, selfadjoint and positive (i.e. $\langle T_\rho f, f \rangle \geq 0 \forall f \in \text{dom } T_\rho$), hence has an inverse T_ρ^{-1} with the same properties.*

(ii) *The positive square root $T_\rho^{1/2}$ of T_ρ extends by continuity to a unitary isomorphism of $\mathcal{F}_{\rho w}$ onto \mathcal{F}_w .*

(iii) *For any $f \in L^\infty(\mathbf{C})$, we have under this isomorphism*

$$T_f^{(\rho)} \cong T_\rho^{-1/2} T_{\rho f} T_\rho^{-1/2}.$$

Proof of Theorem B: Set $w(z) = e^{-|z|^2}$ in the last Proposition.

By (iii), $T_f^{(\rho)}$ belongs to some unitary ideal like \mathcal{S}^p , \mathcal{I}^{-s} , etc., if and only if $T_\rho^{-1/2}T_{\rho f}T_\rho^{-1/2}$ does.

Using the relationship between the Toeplitz operators on \mathcal{F}_1 and the Weyl operators on $L^2(\mathbf{R})$, this reduces the problem again to the one of membership of (sums of products of) Weyl operators in these ideals, which are handled by standard machinery for Ψ DOs. \square

More details in:

- H. Bommier-Hato, M. Engliš, E.-H. Youssfi: *Dixmier trace and the Fock space*, <http://www.math.cas.cz/englis/79.pdf>
(the case of standard Fock space; to appear in Bull. Sci. Math.)
- H. Bommier-Hato, M. Engliš, E.-H. Youssfi: *Dixmier classes on generalized Segal-Bargmann-Fock spaces*,
<http://www.math.cas.cz/englis/SBargm.pdf>
(Theorems A+B, Fact C)

THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION!