

Similarity of Cowen-Douglas Operators to Backward Bergman Shifts

Hyun Kwon

The University of Alabama

May 21, 2013

Ultimate Goal: Similarity Characterization of Cowen-Douglas Class Operators

\mathcal{H} : a separable Hilbert space

$$T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$$

\mathbb{C} : the complex plane

$$\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$$

$$\text{ran } T = \{Tx : x \in \mathcal{H}\} \quad \ker T = \{x \in \mathcal{H} : Tx = 0\}$$

Operators T and \tilde{T} are said to be *similar* if for some bounded, invertible operator A , we have $AT = \tilde{T}A$.

Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{C} . An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be in the *Cowen-Douglas class* $B_1(\Omega)$ if for every $\lambda \in \Omega$,

1. $\text{ran}(T - \lambda)$ is closed.
2. $\dim \ker(T - \lambda) = 1$.
3. $\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Omega} \ker(T - \lambda) = \mathcal{H}$.

Theorem (M. J. Cowen & R. G. Douglas)

For an operator T in this class, a Hermitian holomorphic eigenvector bundle

$$E_T = \coprod_{\lambda \in \Omega} \mathcal{E}(\lambda) = \{(\lambda, v_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Omega, v_\lambda \in \mathcal{E}(\lambda)\}$$

over Ω exists with the metric inherited from \mathcal{H} and the natural projection, $\pi(\lambda, v_\lambda) = \lambda$.

Let \mathcal{H} be a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with kernel $k_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

$$M_z f = zf(z), \langle M_z f, g \rangle = \langle f, M_z^* g \rangle$$

$$M_z^* k_{\bar{\lambda}} = \lambda k_{\bar{\lambda}}$$

$M_z^* \in B_1(\mathbb{D})$ so that $E_{M_z^*}$ exists.

The curvature κ_T of E_T for $T \in B_1(\mathbb{D})$ can be easily calculated as

$$\kappa_T = -\partial\bar{\partial} \log \|k_\lambda\|^2.$$

Theorem (H. Kwon & S. Treil)

Let $T \in B_1(\mathbb{D})$.

"Similarity of $T, \|T\| \leq 1$, on \mathcal{H} , to M_z^ on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ "*

is equivalent to

" $\Delta\phi(z) = \kappa_{M_z^}(z) - \kappa_T(z)$ for a bounded function ϕ defined on \mathbb{D} ",*

Similarity to M_z^* on \mathcal{M}_n ?

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \{f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_n^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(j)|^2 \frac{1}{\binom{n+j-1}{j}} < \infty\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = H^2(\mathbb{D})$$

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \{f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : (n-1) \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^{n-2} dA(z) < \infty\} = A_{n-2}^2(\mathbb{D}) \text{ for } n \geq 2.$$

\mathcal{M}_n is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with reproducing kernel $k_{\lambda}^n = (1 - \bar{\lambda}z)^{-n}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$.

$T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is called an n -hypercontraction if for all $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} (T^*)^j T^j \geq 0.$$

Theorem (J. Agler)

An n -hypercontraction $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\lim_k \|T^k h\| = 0$ for every $h \in \mathcal{H}$ is unitarily equivalent to M_z^ restricted to an M_z^* -invariant subspace of a vector-valued space \mathcal{M}_n .*

Using this Theorem, we conclude that E_T has the tensor product representation

$$\text{Ker}(T - \lambda) = \bigvee \{k_{\bar{\lambda}}\} \otimes \mathcal{N}(\lambda),$$

for some subspace $\mathcal{N}(\lambda)$.

Theorem (R. G. Douglas, H. Kwon, S. Treil)

Let $T \in B_1(\mathbb{D})$.

Similarity of an n -hypercontraction T to M_z^ on \mathcal{M}_n*

is equivalent to

$\Delta\phi(z) \geq \kappa_{M_z^*}(z) - \kappa_T(z)$ for some bounded function ϕ
defined on \mathbb{D} .

$$\kappa_{M_z^*}(z) = -\frac{n}{(1-|z|^2)^2}$$

Ingredients of Proof:

Tensor product structure of E_T .

By Nikolski's Lemma, the corona problem is equivalent to the existence of an analytic projection.

Define projections onto $\bigvee\{k_{\bar{\lambda}}\}$ and $\mathcal{N}(\lambda)$.

The inner-outer factorization of a bounded, analytic function.