The Neumann-Poincaré operator on domains with corners

Mihai Putinar

Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, University of California at Santa Barbara, USA

May 21, 2013

Based on a recent joint work with

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Karl-Mikael Perfekt

and not so recent work with

Dmitry Khavinson Harold Shapiro



Classically related to physical processes and used to produce harmonic functions with prescribed boundary data. Not yet tired of new applications and surprising theoretical turns.



Sobolev space

 $\Omega\subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n\geq 2$, open Lipschitz domain with connected boundary. $H^1(\Omega)$ consists of all $V\in L^2(U)$ such that

$$\|V\|_{H^{1}(U)}^{2} = \|V\|_{L^{2}(U)}^{2} + \|\nabla V\|_{L^{2}(U)}^{2} < \infty.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Boundary spaces

Similarly $H^1(\partial \Omega)$.

For 0 < s < 1, we obtain $H^{s}(\partial U)$ on the real interpolation scale between $L^{2}(\partial \Omega)$ and $H^{1}(\partial \Omega)$.

Alternatively $H^{s}(\partial \Omega)$ is a Besov space,

$$\|v\|_{H^{s}(\partial\Omega)}^{2} \sim \|v\|_{L^{2}(\partial\Omega)}^{2} + \int_{\partial\Omega\times\partial\Omega} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{n - 1 + 2s}} \, d\sigma(x) \, d\sigma(y),$$

where σ denotes (n-1)-dimensional Hausdorff measure on $\partial \Omega$.

We define $H^{-s}(\partial\Omega)$, $0 \le s \le 1$, as the dual of $H^{s}(\partial\Omega)$ under the (sesquilinear) L^2 -pairing

Neumann-Poincaré operator

Fundamental solution for Laplace operator

$$G(x, y) = \begin{cases} -\omega_n^{-1} \log |x - y|, & n = 2, \\ \omega_n^{-1} |x - y|^{2-n}, & n \ge 3, \end{cases}$$

where ω_n is the measure of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n .

By the Neumann-Poincaré operator, or the boundary double layer potential, $K : H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega) \to H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega)$ we mean the operator

$$Kf(x) = -2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_{n_y} G(x, y) f(y) \, d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \partial\Omega,$$

where n_y denotes the outward normal derivative at y.

Boundedness of K

 $K: L^2(\partial \Omega) \longrightarrow L^2(\partial \Omega)$ is bounded [Coifman, McIntosh and Meyer 1982], [Verchota 1984].

 $K: H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega) \longrightarrow H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega)$ bounded by symmetry and interpolation arguments.

 $\mathcal{K}^*: \mathcal{H}^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ is our main character.

Spatial layer potentials

For $x \notin \partial \Omega$ we write

$$Df(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_{n_y} G(x, y) f(y) \, d\sigma(y), \quad x \notin \partial\Omega,$$

and call D the double layer potential. For $g \in H^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega)$ the single layer potential S is defined by

$$Sg(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} G(x,y)f(y) \, d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Energy norm

Denote the exterior of Ω by $\Omega_e = \overline{\Omega}^c$ and by \mathfrak{H} the space of harmonic functions h on $\Omega \cup \Omega_e$ with $\lim_{x\to\infty} h(x) = 0$ and finite energy,

$$\|h\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 = \int_{\Omega \cup \Omega_e} |\nabla h|^2 \, dx < \infty.$$

To ensure that \mathfrak{H} is a Hilbert space we also require that if $h \neq 0$ and $h_e = h|_{\Omega_e} = 0$, then $h_i = h|_{\Omega}$ is non-constant.

Traces

Each element $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ has an interior trace $\operatorname{Tr}_{\operatorname{int}} h = \operatorname{Tr} h_i \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ and an exterior trace $\operatorname{Tr}_{\operatorname{ext}} h = \operatorname{Tr} h_e \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$.

By the classical Poincaré inequality for bounded Lipschitz domains U and the fact that the trace $\text{Tr} : H^1(U) \to H^{1/2}(\partial U)$ is continuous, we see that the interior and the exterior traces are continuous as maps from \mathfrak{H} to $H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega)$.

The interior and exterior trace normal derivatives $\partial_n^{\text{int}} h, \partial_n^{\text{ext}} h \in H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ are defined by duality and via Green's formula.

Orthogonal decompositions

One interprets an element $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ as a pair $(h_i, h_e) = (h|_{\Omega}, h|_{\Omega_e})$, with the corresponding orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_i \oplus \mathfrak{H}_e$. We denote by P_i and P_e the orthogonal projections onto \mathfrak{H}_i and \mathfrak{H}_e respectively, so that $P_i(h_i, h_e) = (h_i, 0)$ and $P_e(h_i, h_e) = (0, h_e)$.

Second orthogonal decomposition: let

$$\mathfrak{S} = \{h \in \mathfrak{H} : \operatorname{Tr}_{\operatorname{int}} h = \operatorname{Tr}_{\operatorname{ext}} h\} = S(H^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega))$$

denote the space of single layer potentials in \mathfrak{H} , and let

$$\mathfrak{D} = \{h \in \mathfrak{H} : \partial_n^{\text{int}} h = \partial_n^{\text{ext}} h\} = D(H_0^{1/2}(\partial\Omega))$$

denote the space of double layer potentials. Then $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{S} \oplus \mathfrak{D}$ and we write P_s and P_d for the corresponding projections.

Jump formulae

For $f \in H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ $(f \perp 1 \text{ if } n = 2)$ and $g \in H_0^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, arguing with smooth functions and the continuity of operators involved, the well known jump formulae for S and K take on the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Tr}_{\mathrm{int}} \, Sf &= \mathsf{Tr}_{\mathrm{ext}} \, Sf = Sf|_{\partial\Omega}, \qquad \partial_n^{\mathrm{int}} Sf = \frac{1}{2}(f - K^*f), \\ \partial_n^{\mathrm{ext}} Sf &= \frac{1}{2}(-f - K^*f), \qquad \mathsf{Tr}_{\mathrm{int}} \, Dg = \frac{1}{2}(-g - Kg), \\ \mathsf{Tr}_{\mathrm{ext}} \, Dg &= \frac{1}{2}(g - Kg), \qquad \partial_n^{\mathrm{int}} Dg = \partial_n^{\mathrm{ext}} Dg. \end{aligned}$$

1

Classical boundary problems as integral equations

Solve $\Delta u = 0$ in Ω with Tr $u = \phi$ by searching

$$u = Dg, \quad g \in H_0^{1/2}(\partial \Omega).$$

And similarly $\Delta v = 0$ in Ω with $\partial_n v = \psi$

as

$$v = Sf$$
, $f \in H^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega)$.

Hence the need to compute $\sigma(K|H_01/2)$ and study the convergence of the Neumann series for $(\lambda - K)^{-1}$.

Poincaré variational problem

Study the balance of energies

$$\frac{\|\nabla Sg\|_e^2 - \|\nabla Sg\|_i^2}{\|\nabla Sg\|_e^2 + \|\nabla Sg\|_i^2}$$

as a variational problem in $g \in H_0^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega)$.

Theorem

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$ be an open, bounded Lipschitz domain with connected boundary. The operator $K^* : H^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega) \to H^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega)$ is similar to the angle operator $P_s(P_e - P_i)P_s : \mathfrak{S} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}$.

Smooth boundary

In this case the successive singular values

$$\sigma_k = \max_{g \perp \{g_0, \dots, g_{k-1}\}} \frac{\|\nabla Sg\|_e^2 - \|\nabla Sg\|_i^2}{\|\nabla Sg\|_e^2 + \|\nabla Sg\|_i^2}$$

are attained at $g = g_k$ and the sequence $g_0, g_1, g_{-1}, g_2, g_{-2}, ...$ is complete in $H_0^{-1/2}$.

Proof based on Plemelj intertwining formula

$$DS = SD^*$$

and the theory of symmetrizable operators (Carleman, Korn, M.G. Krein).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Angle operator

 $K^*: H_0^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega) \to H_0^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ can be regarded in two distinct ways as the angle operator between the orthogonal decompositions

$$\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_i \oplus \mathfrak{H}_e = \mathfrak{S} \oplus \mathfrak{D}.$$

Consequently K^* can be equally realized as a generalized Beurling-Ahlfors singular integral transform.

Singular integral on Bergman type space

Define $\mathfrak{B}(\Omega) = \{ \nabla u \in L^2(\Omega) : \Delta u = 0 \}$ and

$$\Pi_{\Omega}(\nabla u)(x) = \mathsf{p.v.} \, \nabla_x \int_{\Omega} \nabla_y G(x,y) \cdot \nabla_y u \, dy, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

Theorem

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open and bounded Lipschitz domain with connected boundary. The angle operator $P_i(P_d - P_s) : \mathfrak{H}_i \to \mathfrak{H}_i$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator $B_{\Omega} : \mathfrak{B}(\Omega) \to \mathfrak{B}(\Omega)$,

$$B_{\Omega}=I+2\Pi_{\Omega}.$$

In addition, the operator K^* : $H_0^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega) \to H_0^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega)$ is similar to B_{Ω} .

Real spectrum

Corollary

The spectrum of $K : H^{1/2} \to H^{1/2}$ coincides with that of B_{Ω} , except for the point 1. The essential spectra also coincide,

$$\sigma_{ess}(K) = \sigma_{ess}(B_{\Omega}).$$

Furthermore, $\sigma(K) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and any point $\lambda \in \sigma(K) \setminus \sigma_{ess}(K)$ is an eigenvalue of finite multiplicity, since B_{Ω} is self-adjoint.

2D, à la Schiffer

Complex variables help:

$$abla_\zeta
abla_z G(\zeta,z) = rac{1}{\pi} rac{1}{(ar \zeta - ar z)^2}.$$

Theorem

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open and bounded Lipschitz domain with connected boundary and let $T_{\Omega} : L^2_a(\Omega) \to \overline{L^2_a(\Omega)}$ denote the operator

$$T_{\Omega}f(z) = \mathsf{p.v.} \, rac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Omega} rac{f(\zeta)}{(ar{\zeta} - ar{z})^2} \, dA(\zeta), \quad f \in L^2_a(\Omega), \, z \in \Omega.$$

Then $K^*: H_0^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega) \to H_0^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ is similar to $\overline{T_\Omega}: L_a^2(\Omega) \to \underline{L}_a^2(\Omega)$, when the spaces are considered over the field of reals. Here $\overline{T_\Omega}f(z) = \overline{T_\Omega}f(z)$.

Symmetric spectrum

Note that the operator T_{Ω} is defined regardless of topological assumptions on Ω such as boundedness and smoothness. It is also straightforward to check that if *L* is a fractional linear transformation, then T_{Ω} and $T_{L(\Omega)}$ are unitarily equivalent.

Corollary

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open and bounded Lipschitz domain with connected boundary. Excepting the point 1, the spectrum $\sigma(K)$ of $K : H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega) \to H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega)$ is symmetric with respect to the origin.

Corollary

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open and bounded Lipschitz domain with connected boundary. Then $\sigma(\overline{T_{\Omega}}) = \sigma(\overline{T_{\Omega_e}})$.

Fredholm eigenvalues of a planar domain

For $\partial\Omega$ smooth $\sigma\left(K^*|_{H_0^{-1/2}}\right) = \sigma(\overline{T_\Omega})$ is classically known as the set of Fredholm eigenvalues of Ω .

We can define the largest Fredholm eigenvalue as $|\sigma(\overline{T_{\Omega}})|$ for any simply connected domain Ω whose boundary is given by a closed Lipschitz curve in $C^* = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

While another generalized definition is given by Poincaré's variational problem.

Quasiconformal mapping estimates

Theorem (Krushkal)

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be an unbounded convex domain with piecewise $C^{1,\alpha}$ -smooth boundary, $\alpha > 0$. Denote by $0 < \theta < \pi$ the least interior angle made between the boundary arcs of $\partial\Omega$, taking into consideration also the angle made at ∞ . Then the largest Fredholm eigenvalue of Ω is $1 - \theta/\pi$.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Approximation

Working with T_{Ω} is more flexible than computing singular integrals on the boundary, for instance in approximations of the type $\Omega_n \to \Omega$ corresponding to SOT convergence of $T_{\Omega_n} \to T_{\Omega}$. New proof of:

Theorem (Kühnau)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a $C^{1,lpha}$ -smooth curvilinear polygon. Then

$$|\sigma(T_{\Omega})| \ge \max_{1 \le j \le N} |1 - \theta_j/\pi|$$

Conformal mapping application

Theorem

Let Ω be a $C^{1,\alpha}$ -smooth curvilinear polygon with $0 < \theta_j < \pi$ for $1 \le j \le N$ such that its angles satisfy

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} (\pi - \theta_j) + \pi + \theta_N \le 2\pi,$$

possibly after a cyclic permutation of the vertex labels. Then

$$|\sigma_{ess}(\mathcal{K})| = |\sigma_{ess}(\mathcal{T}_{\Omega})| \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} (1 - \theta_j / \pi),$$

where the double layer potential K is considered as an operator on $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$.

Spectrum of the NP operator in other functional spaces

Theorem (Radon, 1919) Assume $\partial\Omega$ has bounded rotation. Then

$$|\sigma_{ess}(K)| = \max\left(1 - \theta/\pi
ight).$$

Proved by Carleman in his PhD dissertation, in 1916.

Extended to 3D and (some) Hölder space norms by Kral, Netuka, Maz'ya and collaborators.

Lebesgue spaces

Shelepov's formula in L^2 :

$$|\sigma_{ ext{ess}}(\mathcal{K})| = \max\left(1 - \sinrac{ heta}{2}
ight).$$

Irina Mitrea (2002): $L^{p}(\partial \Omega), 1 , with finitely many corners on <math>\partial \Omega$ produces a non-real spectrum of K, with a closed leminscate for each vertex.

Particular cases and numerical experiments

Werner 1997: $\sigma(T_R)$ of a rectangle *R* depends on the proportion of the sides.

Helsing and Perfekt 2012: *On the polarizability and capacitance of the cube*

Surveys

M. Costabel: Some historical remarks on the positivity of boundary integral operators. In: Boundary Element Analysis (M. Schanz, O. Steinbach eds.). Lect. Notes Appl. Comp. Mechanics 29, Springer Berlin (2007) 128.

V.G. Mazya: Boundary integral equations. In: Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences 27, Analysis IV (V.G. Mazya, S.M. Nikolski eds.) Springer-Verlag Berlin (1991) 127 - 222.

W. L. Wendland: On the double layer potential, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 193(2009), pp. 319-334.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)