

Characterization of stability of contractions

Attila Szalai

Bolyai Institute
University of Szeged

Based on a joint work with László Kérchy.

Hilbert Function Spaces
May 23, 2013, Gargnano

\mathcal{H} complex, separable Hilbert space, $\dim \mathcal{H} = \aleph_0$.

$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ bounded, linear operators on \mathcal{H} .

$T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $\|T\| \leq 1$

- $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$
 - T_1 is c.n.u.: $\exists \mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat } T_1$: $T_1|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is unitary
 - T_2 is unitary
 - T is **absolutely continuous** if T_2 is a.c.,
i.e. if the spectral measure of T_2 is a.c. with respect to Lebesgue measure

\mathcal{H} complex, separable Hilbert space, $\dim \mathcal{H} = \aleph_0$.

$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ bounded, linear operators on \mathcal{H} .

$T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $\|T\| \leq 1$

- $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$
 - T_1 is c.n.u.: $\exists \mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat } T_1$: $T_1|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is unitary
 - T_2 is unitary
 - T is absolutely continuous if T_2 is a.c.,
i.e. if the spectral measure of T_2 is a.c. with respect to Lebesgue measure

\mathcal{H} complex, separable Hilbert space, $\dim \mathcal{H} = \aleph_0$.

$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ bounded, linear operators on \mathcal{H} .

$T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $\|T\| \leq 1$

- $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$
 - T_1 is c.n.u.: $\exists \mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat } T_1: T_1|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is unitary
 - T_2 is unitary
 - T is **absolutely continuous** if T_2 is a.c.,
i.e. if the spectral measure of T_2 is a.c. with respect to Lebesgue measure

$T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ a.c. contraction

Minimal unitary dilation $U \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G})$ of T :

- (i) $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}, \bigvee_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} U^n \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G},$
- (ii) $T^n = P_{\mathcal{H}} U^n|_{\mathcal{H}} \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$

U a.c. unitary operator

$\exists! \Phi_U : L^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}), f \mapsto f(U)$ weak-* continuous, contractive, unital algebra-homomorphism, such that $\chi(U) = U$ (where $\chi(\zeta) = \zeta \forall \zeta \in \mathbb{T}$)

$T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ a.c. contraction

Minimal unitary dilation $U \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G})$ of T :

- (i) $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}, \bigvee_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} U^n \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G},$
- (ii) $T^n = P_{\mathcal{H}} U^n|_{\mathcal{H}} \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$

U a.c. unitary operator

$\exists! \Phi_U : L^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}), f \mapsto f(U)$ weak-* continuous, contractive, unital algebra-homomorphism, such that $\chi(U) = U$ (where $\chi(\zeta) = \zeta \forall \zeta \in \mathbb{T}$)

$$H^\infty = \left\{ f \in L^\infty : \hat{f}(-n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f \chi^n d\mu = 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

Hardy space, weak- * -closed subalgebra of L^∞

$$f \in H^\infty \implies F(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-\bar{\zeta}z|^2} f(\zeta) d\mu(\zeta) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

bounded analytic function on \mathbb{D} .

$F : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ bounded analytic $\implies f \in H^\infty$, where
 $f(\zeta) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} F(r\zeta)$ for a.e. $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$.

$$f \equiv F$$

$$H^\infty = \left\{ f \in L^\infty : \hat{f}(-n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f \chi^n d\mu = 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

Hardy space, weak- * -closed subalgebra of L^∞

$$f \in H^\infty \implies F(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-\bar{\zeta}z|^2} f(\zeta) d\mu(\zeta) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

bounded analytic function on \mathbb{D} .

$F : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ bounded analytic $\implies f \in H^\infty$, where
 $f(\zeta) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} F(r\zeta)$ for a.e. $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$.

$$f \equiv F$$

$$\phi_T : H^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), f \mapsto f(T) := P_{\mathcal{H}}f(U)|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

- weak-* continuous
- contractive: $\|f(T)\| \leq \|f\|_\infty$
- unital algebra-homomorphism
- $\chi(T) = T$

Uniquely determined:

Sz.-Nagy–Foias functional calculus for T .

$$\phi_T : H^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), f \mapsto f(T) := P_{\mathcal{H}}f(U)|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

- weak-* continuous
- contractive: $\|f(T)\| \leq \|f\|_\infty$
- unital algebra-homomorphism
- $\chi(T) = T$

Uniquely determined:

Sz.-Nagy–Foias functional calculus for T .

Definition

$T \in \mathcal{C}_0$, if $\ker \Phi_T \neq \{0\}$

Then $\exists!$ $m_T \in H^\infty$ inner function, such that $\ker \Phi_T = m_T H^\infty$.
 m_T minimal function of T .

Example.

$\vartheta \in H^\infty$ inner: $|\vartheta(\zeta)| = 1$ for a.e. $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$

$H^2 = \left\{ f \in L^2 : \hat{f}(-n) = 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ - analytic subspace of $L^2(\mu)$

$$\mathcal{H}(\vartheta) = H^2 \ominus \vartheta H^2$$

$$S(\vartheta) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}(\vartheta)), S(\vartheta)f = P_{\mathcal{H}(\vartheta)}(\chi f)$$

$$S(\vartheta) \in \mathcal{C}_0 \text{ and } m_{S(\vartheta)} = \vartheta$$

Definition

$T \in \mathcal{C}_0$, if $\ker \Phi_T \neq \{0\}$

Then $\exists!$ $m_T \in H^\infty$ inner function, such that $\ker \Phi_T = m_T H^\infty$.
 m_T minimal function of T .

Example.

$\vartheta \in H^\infty$ inner: $|\vartheta(\zeta)| = 1$ for a.e. $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$

$H^2 = \left\{ f \in L^2 : \hat{f}(-n) = 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ - analytic subspace of $L^2(\mu)$

$$\mathcal{H}(\vartheta) = H^2 \ominus \vartheta H^2$$

$$S(\vartheta) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}(\vartheta)), S(\vartheta)f = P_{\mathcal{H}(\vartheta)}(\chi f)$$

$$S(\vartheta) \in \mathcal{C}_0 \text{ and } m_{S(\vartheta)} = \vartheta$$

$T \in \mathcal{C}_0$: $T^n \rightarrow 0$ (SOT), that is $T^n x \rightarrow 0 \forall x \in \mathcal{H}$

$T \in \mathcal{C}_1$: $T^n x \not\rightarrow 0$ for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$

Dritschel: $h_n(T) \rightarrow 0$ (SOT) ??? $T^n \rightarrow 0$ (SOT)

$T \in \mathcal{C}_0$: $T^n \rightarrow 0$ (SOT), that is $T^n x \rightarrow 0 \forall x \in \mathcal{H}$

$T \in \mathcal{C}_1$: $T^n x \not\rightarrow 0$ for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$

Dritschel: $h_n(T) \rightarrow 0$ (SOT) ??? $T^n \rightarrow 0$ (SOT)

Definition

A sequence of bounded analytic functions $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H^{\infty}$ is a **test sequence of stability for a.c. contractions** if for every a.c. contraction T the condition $T^n \rightarrow 0$ (SOT) holds exactly when $h_n(T) \rightarrow 0$ (SOT).

Theorem (2012 Kérchy, Sz.)

A sequence of bounded analytic functions $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H^{\infty}$ is a test sequence of stability for a.c. contractions if and only if

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(z) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$,
- (ii) $\sup \{\|h_n\|_{\infty} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty$,
- (iii) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\chi_{\alpha} h_n\|_2 > 0$ for every Borel set $\alpha \subset \mathbb{T}$ of positive measure.
(χ_{α} is the characteristic function of α .)

Definition

A sequence of bounded analytic functions $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset H^\infty$ is a *test sequence of stability for a.c. contractions* if for every a.c. contraction T the condition $T^n \rightarrow 0$ (SOT) holds exactly when $h_n(T) \rightarrow 0$ (SOT).

Theorem (2012 Kérchy, Sz.)

A sequence of bounded analytic functions $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset H^\infty$ is a test sequence of stability for a.c. contractions if and only if

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(z) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$,
- (ii) $\sup \{\|h_n\|_\infty : n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty$,
- (iii) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\chi_\alpha h_n\|_2 > 0$ for every Borel set $\alpha \subset \mathbb{T}$ of positive measure.
(χ_α is the characteristic function of α .)

- The conditions (i) and (ii) together mean that $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to zero in the weak-* topology.
- Examples:
 - $h_n = u^n$, where u is a non-constant inner.
 - $h_n = \chi^{n+1} - \chi^n$.
- $T \in C_0 \implies \exists \vartheta$ inner,
 $\vartheta(T) = 0 \implies \vartheta^n(T) = 0 \rightarrow 0$ (SOT) $\implies T \in C_0$.

- The conditions (i) and (ii) together mean that $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to zero in the weak-* topology.
- Examples:
 - $h_n = u^n$, where u is a non-constant inner.
 - $h_n = \chi^{n+1} - \chi^n$.
- $T \in C_0 \implies \exists \vartheta$ inner,
 $\vartheta(T) = 0 \implies \vartheta^n(T) = 0 \rightarrow 0$ (SOT) $\implies T \in C_0$.

- The conditions (i) and (ii) together mean that $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to zero in the weak-* topology.
- Examples:
 - $h_n = u^n$, where u is a non-constant inner.
 - $h_n = \chi^{n+1} - \chi^n$.
- $T \in \mathcal{C}_0 \implies \exists \vartheta$ inner,
 $\vartheta(T) = 0 \implies \vartheta^n(T) = 0 \rightarrow 0$ (SOT) $\implies T \in \mathcal{C}_0$.

Let us consider the unilateral shift of multiplicity one:

$$S \in \mathcal{L}(H^2), \quad Sf = \chi f, \quad (\chi(z) = z).$$

$$S^{*n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ (SOT)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad h_n(S^*) \rightarrow 0 \text{ (SOT)}$$

For the Cauchy kernel $k_\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{1-\bar{\lambda}z}$:

$$S^*k_\lambda = \bar{\lambda}k_\lambda \Rightarrow h_n(S^*)k_\lambda = h_n(\bar{\lambda})k_\lambda \Rightarrow h_n(\bar{\lambda}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{D},$$

that is (i) holds.

$$\|h\|_\infty \geq \|h(S^*)\| \geq \frac{\|h(S^*)k_\lambda\|_2}{\|k_\lambda\|_2} = |h(\bar{\lambda})|$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. Thus the Banach–Steinhaus theorem shows that $\sup_n \|h_n\|_\infty = \sup_n \|h_n(S^*)\| < \infty$, that is (ii) holds.

Let us consider the unilateral shift of multiplicity one:

$$S \in \mathcal{L}(H^2), \quad Sf = \chi f, \quad (\chi(z) = z).$$

$$S^{*n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ (SOT)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad h_n(S^*) \rightarrow 0 \text{ (SOT)}$$

For the Cauchy kernel $k_\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{1-\bar{\lambda}z}$:

$$S^* k_\lambda = \bar{\lambda} k_\lambda \Rightarrow h_n(S^*) k_\lambda = h_n(\bar{\lambda}) k_\lambda \Rightarrow h_n(\bar{\lambda}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{D},$$

that is (i) holds.

$$\|h\|_\infty \geq \|h(S^*)\| \geq \frac{\|h(S^*)k_\lambda\|_2}{\|k_\lambda\|_2} = |h(\bar{\lambda})|$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. Thus the Banach–Steinhaus theorem shows that $\sup_n \|h_n\|_\infty = \sup_n \|h_n(S^*)\| < \infty$, that is (ii) holds.

Let us consider the unilateral shift of multiplicity one:

$$S \in \mathcal{L}(H^2), \quad Sf = \chi f, \quad (\chi(z) = z).$$

$$S^{*n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ (SOT)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad h_n(S^*) \rightarrow 0 \text{ (SOT)}$$

For the Cauchy kernel $k_\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{1-\bar{\lambda}z}$:

$$S^* k_\lambda = \bar{\lambda} k_\lambda \Rightarrow h_n(S^*) k_\lambda = h_n(\bar{\lambda}) k_\lambda \Rightarrow h_n(\bar{\lambda}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{D},$$

that is (i) holds.

$$\|h\|_\infty \geq \|h(S^*)\| \geq \frac{\|h(S^*)k_\lambda\|_2}{\|k_\lambda\|_2} = |h(\bar{\lambda})|$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. Thus the Banach–Steinhaus theorem shows that $\sup_n \|h_n\|_\infty = \sup_n \|h_n(S^*)\| < \infty$, that is (ii) holds.

Let us consider the unilateral shift of multiplicity one:

$$S \in \mathcal{L}(H^2), \quad Sf = \chi f, \quad (\chi(z) = z).$$

$$S^{*n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ (SOT)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad h_n(S^*) \rightarrow 0 \text{ (SOT)}$$

For the Cauchy kernel $k_\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{1-\bar{\lambda}z}$:

$$S^*k_\lambda = \bar{\lambda}k_\lambda \Rightarrow h_n(S^*)k_\lambda = h_n(\bar{\lambda})k_\lambda \Rightarrow h_n(\bar{\lambda}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{D},$$

that is (i) holds.

$$\|h\|_\infty \geq \|h(S^*)\| \geq \frac{\|h(S^*)k_\lambda\|_2}{\|k_\lambda\|_2} = |h(\bar{\lambda})|$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. Thus the Banach–Steinhaus theorem shows that $\sup_n \|h_n\|_\infty = \sup_n \|h_n(S^*)\| < \infty$, that is (ii) holds.

Let $\alpha \subset \mathbb{T}$, $m(\alpha) > 0$, $L^2(\alpha) = \chi_\alpha L^2(\mathbb{T})$.

Then $M_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\alpha))$, $M_\alpha g = \chi g$ is an a.c. unitary operator, hence

$$M_\alpha^n \not\rightarrow 0 \text{ (SOT)} \Rightarrow h_n(M_\alpha) \not\rightarrow 0 \text{ (SOT)} .$$

$T \in C_0. \Rightarrow T \cong S_\infty^* | \mathcal{M}$ (Rota, Foias).

$(S_\infty^* = S^* \oplus S^* \oplus \dots).$

- $h_n(S^*)k_\lambda = h_n(\bar{\lambda})k_\lambda \rightarrow 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ by (i),
- $\vee \{k_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{D}\} = H^2,$
- $\{h_n(S^*)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded by (ii).

$\Rightarrow h_n(S^*) \rightarrow 0, h_n(S_\infty^*) \rightarrow 0$ and $h_n(T) \rightarrow 0$ (SOT).

$T \in C_0. \Rightarrow T \cong S_\infty^* | \mathcal{M}$ (Rota, Foias).

$(S_\infty^* = S^* \oplus S^* \oplus \dots)$.

- $h_n(S^*)k_\lambda = h_n(\bar{\lambda})k_\lambda \rightarrow 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ by (i),
- $\vee \{k_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{D}\} = H^2$,
- $\{h_n(S^*)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded by (ii).

$\Rightarrow h_n(S^*) \rightarrow 0, h_n(S_\infty^*) \rightarrow 0$ and $h_n(T) \rightarrow 0$ (SOT).

$T \in C_0. \Rightarrow T \cong S_\infty^* | \mathcal{M}$ (Rota, Foias).

$(S_\infty^* = S^* \oplus S^* \oplus \dots).$

- $h_n(S^*)k_\lambda = h_n(\bar{\lambda})k_\lambda \rightarrow 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ by (i),
- $\vee \{k_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{D}\} = H^2,$
- $\{h_n(S^*)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded by (ii).

$\Rightarrow h_n(S^*) \rightarrow 0, h_n(S_\infty^*) \rightarrow 0$ and $h_n(T) \rightarrow 0$ (SOT).

$T \notin \mathcal{C}_0$. can be written in the form

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} T_0 & * \\ 0 & T_1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_1 \neq \{0\}$, $T_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0$, $T_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1$. Therefore

$$h(T) = \begin{bmatrix} h(T_0) & * \\ 0 & h(T_1) \end{bmatrix}$$

for all $h \in H^\infty$. Assume to the contrary that $h_n(T) \rightarrow 0$ (SOT). This implies that $h_n(T_1) \rightarrow 0$ (SOT). This leads to a contradiction via the concept unitary asymptote.

Proposition

Let $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H^{\infty}$. Then $h_n(T) \rightarrow 0$ (SOT) for all $T \in C_0$, if and only if $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii).

Proposition

Let $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H^{\infty}$. Then $h_n(T) \rightarrow 0$ (SOT) for every a.c. contraction T exactly when $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|h_n\|_2 = 0$.

Proposition

Let $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H^{\infty}$. Then $h_n(T) \rightarrow 0$ (SOT) for all $T \in C_0$, if and only if $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii).

Proposition

Let $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H^{\infty}$. Then $h_n(T) \rightarrow 0$ (SOT) for every a.c. contraction T exactly when $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|h_n\|_2 = 0$.

$T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is **polynomially bounded** if $\|p(T)\| \leq K_T \|p\|_\infty$.

- $\Phi_{T,0} : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $p \mapsto p(T)$ is a bounded algebra-homomorphism which extends continuously to the disc algebra:
- $\Phi_{T,1} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $f \mapsto f(T)$.

Mlak introduced and studied **elementary measures** of polynomially bounded operators. If T is a polynomially bounded operator then uniquely exist

$\mathcal{H}_a, \mathcal{H}_s \in \text{Hlat } T$, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_a \dot{+} \mathcal{H}_s$ such that $T_a = T|_{\mathcal{H}_a}$ is absolutely continuous and $T_s = T|_{\mathcal{H}_s}$ is singular.

- T admits an H^∞ -functional calculus exactly when T is a.c. polynomially bounded operator.

$T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is **polynomially bounded** if $\|p(T)\| \leq K_T \|p\|_\infty$.

- $\Phi_{T,0} : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $p \mapsto p(T)$ is a bounded algebra-homomorphism which extends continuously to the disc algebra:
- $\Phi_{T,1} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $f \mapsto f(T)$.

Mlak introduced and studied **elementary measures** of polynomially bounded operators . If T is a polynomially bounded operator then uniquely exist

$\mathcal{H}_a, \mathcal{H}_s \in \text{Hlat } T$, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_a \dot{+} \mathcal{H}_s$ such that $T_a = T|_{\mathcal{H}_a}$ is absolutely continuous and $T_s = T|_{\mathcal{H}_s}$ is singular.

- T admits an H^∞ -functional calculus exactly when T is a.c. polynomially bounded operator.

$T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is **polynomially bounded** if $\|p(T)\| \leq K_T \|p\|_\infty$.

- $\Phi_{T,0} : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $p \mapsto p(T)$ is a bounded algebra-homomorphism which extends continuously to the disc algebra:
- $\Phi_{T,1} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $f \mapsto f(T)$.

Mlak introduced and studied **elementary measures** of polynomially bounded operators . If T is a polynomially bounded operator then uniquely exist

$\mathcal{H}_a, \mathcal{H}_s \in \text{Hlat } T$, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_a \dot{+} \mathcal{H}_s$ such that $T_a = T|_{\mathcal{H}_a}$ is absolutely continuous and $T_s = T|_{\mathcal{H}_s}$ is singular.

- T admits an H^∞ -functional calculus exactly when T is a.c. polynomially bounded operator.

Definition

A sequence of bounded analytic functions $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H^{\infty}$ is a **test sequence of stability for a.c. polynomially bounded operators** if for every a.c. polynomially bounded operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ the property $T^n \rightarrow 0$ (SOT) holds exactly when $h_n(T) \rightarrow 0$ (SOT).

Theorem

A sequence of bounded analytic functions $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H^{\infty}$ is a test sequence of stability for a.c. polynomially bounded operators if and only if $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to zero exclusively on \mathbb{D} .

Definition

A sequence of bounded analytic functions $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H^{\infty}$ is a *test sequence of stability for a.c. polynomially bounded operators* if for every a.c. polynomially bounded operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ the property $T^n \rightarrow 0$ (SOT) holds exactly when $h_n(T) \rightarrow 0$ (SOT).

Theorem

A sequence of bounded analytic functions $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H^{\infty}$ is a test sequence of stability for a.c. polynomially bounded operators if and only if $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to zero exclusively on \mathbb{D} .

- T is a singular polynomially bounded operator if and only if T is similar to a singular unitary operator.

Proposition

Let $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset A$ be a bounded sequence. Then $h_n(T) \rightarrow 0$ (SOT) for every singular polynomially bounded operator T if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(\zeta) = 0$ for every $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$. In that case $h_n(T) \rightarrow 0$ (SOT) for every polynomially bounded operator T .



TÁMOP-4.2.2/B-10/1-2010-0012 projekt



Thank You For Your Attention

The publication/presentation is supported by the European Union and co-funded by the European Social Fund.

Project title: "Broadening the knowledge base and supporting the long term professional sustainability of the Research University Centre of Excellence at the University of Szeged by ensuring the rising generation of excellent scientists." Project number: TÁMOP-4.2.2/B-10/1-2010-0012

Szegedi Tudományegyetem
Cím: 6720 Szeged, Dugonics tér 13.
www.u-szeged.hu
www.ujszechenyiterv.gov.hu

Nemzeti Fejlesztési Ögyműködés
www.ujszechenyiterv.gov.hu
06 40 638 638



A projekt az Európai Unió támogatásával, az Európai
Szociális Alap társfinanszírozásával valósul meg.